

## **BHS Executive Conference Call Minutes**

**Sunday 10<sup>th</sup> June 2018 @ 2000**

### **1). Apologies**

Nic Wardle

Jonathan Howell

### **Present**

Andrew Manktelow (Chair)

Steven Jones

Anil Gambhir

Dominic Meek

Vikas Khanduja

Andrew Hamer

Ben Bolland

(Fares Haddad invited to discuss Industry Fellowships)

### **2). Minutes of the Executive teleconference 22nd April 2018**

These were approved as a true reflection of the meeting.

### **3). Matters Arising**

*a). AAHKS meeting and BHS association:* AM reported that discussions had taken place about the BHS members receiving a journal as part of their subscription. The BJJ was already taken by many members and was clearly associated with the BOA. The possibility of the Journal of Arthroplasty being made available was discussed but felt to be too expensive. This was reinforced by FH comments. SJ mentioned "Arthroplasty Today" but it was felt not to be of sufficient quality to be of interest to BHS members. FH reported that the BJJ was to publish the prize papers from the International Hip Society.

*b). BHS winter meeting.* AM asked whether the proximity of a proposed winter meeting in early December to the BHS visit to AAHKS would be a problem. SJ suggested canvassing opinion to gauge interest. BB suggested trying to attract trainees although study leave might be a problem for some at the end

of the year. AM suggested a Friday afternoon followed by a Saturday morning session, possibly with workshops and parallel sessions to attract trainees and senior surgeons. AM suggested aiming for 50-100 attendees and SJ agreed to plan for fixed numbers to cap costs. Midland locations might work best (Oxford/Birmingham) and SJ thought it a good idea to be away from BHS exec home towns. VK felt that the objectives of the meeting should be clarified and asked what success would look like. FH warned about not having the meeting too close to the BOA. AM reported that other societies were doing similar meetings and that BHS should do the same.

***Action: At the conclusion of the discussion, VK/SJ/BB agreed to form a working group with AM for the meeting.***

c). *BOA Blue Book rewrite.* In the absence of PH, discussion took place around the possibility of a rewrite for the Blue Book. FH reported that the BOA would usually contact the BHS to request a rewrite as the costs of distribution and printing fell to the BOA.

***Action: AJH to contact John Skinner offering BHS input to rewriting the Blue Book.***

#### **4). Industry Sponsored fellowships:**

This item was brought forwards in view of FH joining the teleconference.

He reported that Stryker were happy to repeat their fellowships as in 2017, but a clearer program was in place. The 2017 fellows had encountered some problems and the proposal for a defined 2 week visit around the time of the BHS had been suggested.

AM wondered if there was written confirmation from Stryker and FH agreed to forward the relevant documents.

FH reported that Smith and Nephew were happy to continue and verbal agreements had been made up to now.

The Zimmer-Biomet fellowship was also to continue but with a number of centres suggested to successful candidates as appropriate to visit.

AG reported that the fellowships were for substantial amounts of money and wondered exactly what the terms of reference were. He had received a request for funding of a laptop from one fellow and this was felt to be inappropriate.

AG also reported that he had received no news from DePuy about their funding another fellowship.

***Action: FH to forward details of discussions with the various companies and AM to confirm sponsorship from Zimmer-Biomet.***

FH also explained the position with American Hip Fellows visiting the UK. Previously they would visit alternate years with UK surgeons visiting the USA. Currently the American Hip Society offers and funds the Rothman/Ranawat fellowship annually and one is reserved for a UK surgeon. This is well funded and organised.

It was felt that a better program for visiting US surgeons should be generated and publicised at the AAHKS meeting. BHS would still have to fund the visit. It is likely that the visit would be based around the BOA, perhaps for 2-3 weeks.

VK asked whether any of the industry fellowship funding could be used for the visiting US fellows but FH reported that it had to be used for the defined purpose.

FH wondered if the BHS European travelling fellowship should still be awarded as it continued to be solely BHS funded.

***Action: AJH to summarise fellowships for Exec Information***

## **5). Treasurer report (JH and AG)**

AG reported that he had received a formal handover from JH and that the financial mechanisms within the BHS were much more robust.

The Danske account stood at £22,000 and the HSBC at £175,000. The latter included money for industry fellowships.

He reported that the final profit from the Derby meeting was £23,260.

He reported that the financial future looked secure with industry sponsorship. Subscriptions were paid at various times of year.

## **5). Membership application approvals (AJH)**

AJH reported that confirmation of member applications had been difficult as many proposers and seconders could not respond to the automatic emails from the website. NW had emailed previously suggesting that this problem had been resolved. AJH had undertaken a manual check of proposers and seconders:

*Post meeting note. The following have been confirmed as new members:*

*James Donaldson*

*Mark Higgins*

*John Va Faye*

*Zuhair Syed Nawaz*

*Umar Yousuf*

*Giles Foley*

## **6). NAHR (VK)**

VK reported that he had circulated the minutes of the most recent NAHR meeting to the BHS exec prior to the meeting.

So far there were approximately 8000 cases on the database. Confirmation of compliance with GDPR was awaited and a new minimum dataset was in place. The patient consent form was to be finalised and approved by the BOA.

The NAHR was aiming to increase funding to approx. £100k in order to fund a research nurse. Money was being sought from industry, but often from companies not traditionally associated with hip surgery.

The NAHR was aiming for good quality publications and it was hoped that three might be ready by the end of 2018.

Surgeon compliance was improving helped by approaches to Spire/Nuffield to encourage data entry.

## **7). Website (NW)**

In NW's absence, AJH reported that the statement circulated to members had met the requirements for the BHS to be GDPR compliant.

AJH reported the desire to hold all relevant members data on the website, including membership and accounting information.

VK reported that he was aware of a web based program that could run all the functions of the BHS known as "EventsAir".

***Action: VK to circulate link to the website for information.***

## **8). Founders Prize (AM)**

AM suggested deferring further discussion at this stage although asked that the Exec think of potential nominations for the award in the interim.

## **9). Education lead/Research lead for BHS (AM)**

AM reported that DM was now the formal BHS research link with the BOA.

DM suggested that it would be good for the BHS to advertise relevant trials via the website for members interest and information. He undertook to discuss this further with Matt Costa.

## **10). BOA Centenary meeting Sept 2018**

AM had circulated an email prior to the meeting outlining the program for the BHS@BOA Sept 2018.

SJ asked if the Charnley lecture was to be on the main stage and suggested that if this was not the case then the BHS should push for this.

The topics for the revalidation sessions were discussed and agreed. The session on commissioning was felt to be appropriate given the timing and potential audience at the BOA.

It was agreed that the Exec Dinner should be held on the Wednesday evening.

***Action: AJH to organise dinner venue and invitations for Exec members and past Presidents***

***Action: DM to organise chairs for the free paper session.***

## **11). AOB**

a). AM reported that the BHS Exec had received a critical and inflammatory email from a Past President concerning the GDPR communication sent out by NW. AM had written a very well worded and diplomatic reply to the individual pointing out the inaccuracies in his criticism and explaining the offence that it has caused. No reply had been received.

b). VK wondered if there was a place to communicate with the BHS members to close the correspondence about the financial fraud. AM explained that he had constructed an email for circulation to BHS members. This explained the final

situation with the financial fraud and he hoped that this would put an end to correspondence on the subject.

c). AJH pointed out that ACPA would be present at the Nottingham meeting. DM had been in contact with them about the program and AM hoped that the Exec would be able to offer speakers at the ACPA sessions. There was potential for a session concerning follow-up protocols.

***Action: BB to report back after attending the follow up meeting in Manchester in October***

d). AJH reported that he had been contacted by Henrik Malchau (Honorary Member) about granting website access. It was universally agreed that Honorary Members should be sent login details for the website.

***Action: AJH to produce list of Honorary members for website access.***

## **12) Date of next meeting.**

Sunday 15<sup>th</sup> July 2000

AJH Hon Sec

12/06/18