

NAHR Working Group Meeting Minutes

Date of meeting : 12th September 2014

Venue : 2014 BOA Meeting Brighton

Present: Marcus Bankes
 John Timperley
 Tony Andrade
 Matt Wilson
 Lauren Kerr (Bluespier)

Apologies: Tim Board
 Max Fehily

- JT informed the group that he had been made Chair of quality outcome measures group within the BOA to act as an umbrella for registries.
- A session is being held at BOA to look at governance structures or different registries with a view to developing some commonality and to develop a healthy relationship with PHIN who have the mandate to publish outcome data by 2017.
- The group discussed ongoing concerns that some non-arthroplasty surgeons have about data entry and there was a consensus that the new platform and BOA involvement would help this.
- MB went through the new MDS 1.0
 - it was agreed that only the worst acetabular defect – site and severity - would be recorded
 - on the femoral side modified Outerbridge is recorded 1-4
- TA stated that ISHA was exploring registry options on a large scale.
- LK presented the test portal for the new NAHR website
 - It was agreed this was a significant improvement and would help with data entry
 - It was suggested that Bluespier should be present at the BHS to offer start-up help for newly submitting surgeons
 - LK demonstrated the reports provided by the National Ligament registry
 - All agreed that similar reports, personal and steering group, would be useful
 - It was agreed that steering group reports should be anonymised nationally
 - Surgeons should have a fully-customisable report system that only they can access.
 - LK suggested running a dual user interface to allow the user group to use it in the real scenario
 - MB, TA and MW discussed the generic ‘appraisal’ reports to be

emailed out yearly. A consensus was reached and MB will email the group.

- There was a lot of discussion about what to do with data once a patient transferred care or received a second opinion
 - It was agreed that the treatments given to that patient but not the details of the surgery would be visible to the new surgeon
 - It was agreed that each patient should only be allowed one entry on the registry to avoid parallel streams of data.
 - No agreement was reached with what to do with data in the event of a surgeon's retirement or death. **This requires further discussion**
- MW raised the point of arthroscopic surgery in THR
 - It was agreed that cases with arthroplasty in place should not be entered onto the database. This should be made clear in the surgeon notes documentation
- LK will work on the report building functions and arrange a meeting, in Reading, to review this – possibly end of year
- Plan for soft launch January 2015 with formal launch at BHS meeting in London in March 2015
- Date of next meeting - TBC